



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/730,190	12/05/2	000	Kestutis Patiejunas	MS160309.1	7993
27195	7590	03/01/2005		EXAMINER	
	TUROCY, LLP OR, NATIONAL	ALI, SYED J			
1900 EAST NINTH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114				2127	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/730,190	PATIEJUNAS, KESTUTIS				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Syed J Ali	2127				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no 						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal						
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amenament (1 102 024).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· ——	e, timely filed amendment canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-50</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other: MENGAL T. AN						
	SUF	PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				

Part of Paper No. 20050216

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Sievert et al. (USPN 6,687,729) is deficient with respect to the rejection of claim 1. Applicant argues that Sievert "is silent with respect to the fact that the plurality of threads that comprises the thread pool are adapted to process tasks associated with at least one client side request". Applicant is arguing limitations that fall under "intended use". Whether the software component resides on the client side of an HTTP stack or elsewhere is immaterial to the patentability of the claims. A recitation of the intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Applicant makes similar rejections with respect to claims 8, 23, 35, and 46. Specifically, Applicant argues that the IBM Technical Disclosure Bulleting ("Control of Dynamic Threads Pool for Concurrent Remote Procedure Calls") (hereinafter IBM) is deficient because it is "explicitly confined to an application server, such that threads are created in a thread pool on an application server." Applicant's claims essentially recite a thread pool that is designed to have a greater number of calls than available threads. The table at the bottom of page 199 of IBM explicitly discloses the same type of thread pool. The thrust of Applicant's argument is that IBM does not disclose the thread pool being on the client side. The discussion regarding "intended use" is hereby incorporated by reference with respect to Applicant's argument that IBM is deficient. See In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The remainder of Applicant's arguments rely on the fact that the dependent claims should be withdrawn for the same reasons as claims 1, 8, 23, 35, and 46. These arguments have no weight in view of the above discussion.